Approved For Release 2002/10/30 CM RDP81B00879R001000070028-8

CXC-2661

29 November 1961

25X1A

MEMORANDUM FOR

Fratt & Whitney Aircraft Division United Aircraft Corporation Eartford, Connecticut

SUBJECT

Relocation - JF110-20 Engine Development Program

With the sivent of the first J58 engine run in December 1957, impressive development progress was made during the subsequent two year period. It is our understanding that:

The first 50 hour endurance test was completed in 1958.

The first Mach 3 see level demonstration run was made in July 1958.

The first Each 3 see level afterburner run was made in October 1958.

The first P-2 engine rating sea level 150 hour endurance test was made in Movember 1958.

The first bested inlet test stand run was made in December 1958.

The second P-2 engine rating sea level 150 hour endurance test was made in January 1959.

As of December 1959 over 1750 hours of full-scale running time had been accumulated, establishing an everage of 73 hours per month.

Since Pebruary 1961, it has been apparent that the JT118-20 angine development program has and continues to suffer from the inability to accumulate sufficient manningful engine test time. Time accumulation for the 10-1/2 month period from December 1960 to 15 November 1961 is as follows:

DOCUMENT NO.

NO CHANCE IN CLASS. IT

LI DECLASSIFIED

CLASS. CHANGED TO: TS S C

NEXT REVIEW DATE:

AUTH: HR 70-2

25X1

Approved For Release 2002/10/30 : CIA-RDP81B008#400106007002888

-2-

Total engine time: 727 hours at 70 hours per month

(55 hours from 16 October to

15 Hovember

Afterburner time: 230 hours at 22 hours per month

Hot inlet time: 69 hours at 6.6 hours per month

Not turbine time: 25 hours at 2.4 hours per month

Time at Mach 3 inlet conditions: 6 hours

While it is acknowledged that engine centrols problems have contributed to this insbility and notwithstanding the recognised magnitude of the effort, the oustoner has concluded that this situation is due in part at least to certain inherent shortcomings attributed to the remoteness of the Florida Research and Development Center. It is felt that these shortcomings by their inherent and chronic nature have and will continue to contribute to this deficiency and have and will contribute to increased development and protetype costs. Since the contractor's critical estimate of September 1959, requests for substantial amounts of additional funding were made in August 1960 and again in May 1961. Initial engine deliveries recently were delayed several months because of insufficient development progress. In addition, the recent reorganization of the Florida Research and Development Conterundertaken by the contractor sitests to the existence of these and other shortennings. It is the customer's impression, stenning from the apparent imphility to accumulate meaningful engine test time, that these shortcomings are:

Factory personnel imagerience particularly in the area of experimental engine assembly.

Guestionshie quality and/or quantity of first line assembly supervision.

Deficiency in numbers particularly of experimental congine assembly personnel for headling periods of unanticipated peak load. Manpower flexibility for headling these yeak loads in apparently lacking due to the size and geography of the Florida facility.

Difficulty experienced in moving appropriate factory personnel to Florida from Hartford because of personal inconvenience, incentive, and union restrictions.

Geographical remoteness of the effort relative to monitoring and control of vendor technical problems.

Geographical remoteness from much small shop vendor capacity centered in New England useful in affording flexibility in manufacturing operations.

Geographical decentralization of procurement relative to ventor costs and incentive for cost reduction.

Geographical remoteness of the effort relative to top management communication and soutrol.

During early discussions in 1959 concerning implementation of the JTHID-20 engine development effort, this customer was advised by the contractor of the desirability of utilizing the Florida Research and Development Center for development and manufacture of prototype engines. During 1960, however, it became apparent to the contractor that the undertaking of both development and production efforts under the same besically experimental organization and at the Florida location was not femalale. A decision, therefore, was made and implemented by the contractor that the production effort be returned to Hartford in order to eliminate some or all of the shortcomings cited above.

In order that the present engine development situation be improved, it is felt in keeping with the best interest of the United States Covernment, that coreful consideration by the contractor must be given to the feasibility of moving the primary development effort in addition to the prototype program to Hertford at some cytimus date in the not far distant future. It is the customer's feeling that Hartford is the centralized focal point of Pratt & Whitney's and the United Aircraft Corporation's activity in terms of engineering and production experience, facilities, experienced manpower, and manpower flexibility and therefore is in position to overcome the existing deficiencies of the remote Florida development affort. Further, since the overhead of prototype engines will be concentrated in the Eartford area, it would appear desirable in terms of communication to have the development effort nearby. Concerning the contingency of existing Florida test facility capacity, consideration might be given to the retention of this capacity utilizing engine airlift commutation between Bartford and Florida. The fact that the J7110-20 engine development is believed to reflect a continuing effort points up the advisability of a timely decision to relocate in order to utilize most afficiently the contractor's capability at Eartford, which it is

Approved For Release 2002/10/30 : CIA-RDP81B00879R001000070028-8

believed, will become more available as existing programs are completed or reduced in scope. As an interin measure, it is expected that every effort is being and will be made to correct the current assembly floor situation in Florida.

The contractor's timely comments concerning the feasibility of relocating the primary D-20 development effort to Hartford in view of the foregoing are specifically requested.

In view of increasing national and international emphasis on high Made number meaned flight, it must be clearly understood that this outtomer as part of the defense community is obligated to examise and re-extense all avenues leading toward the expeditions and economic realization of this goal. In this regard, the contractor's progress and performance must be and is responsible continuously in relation to the progress achieved by competitive programs.

PROPERTY N. BIASSIL, JR.

25X1A	ee:	
25X1A	Distribution:	
25X1A	1 & 2 - Addressee (#2 for 3 -	
· :	4 - DD/P 5 - C/DB/DFD 6 - AC/DPD	
•	6 - AC/DPD 7) 8)- DB/DPD	
	9 - RI/DPD	
25X1A	DPD/DB/ :rew (20 Nov 1961)	
:	(20 BOV 1901)	
:	SIGNATURE Recommended:	
- - 	(signed)	
!		
	STABLEY W. MEERLI Colonel USAF	
	Colonel USAF Acting Chief. DPD	r I